#### DOWNER AULIET AND SCHOOL PROCESS

IN THE JAME

The purpose of this talk is to discuss with you sowiet economic strategy and policy and the development of the deviet economy. This subject is particularly appropriate at a time when the USSE in openly deallenging the US in the fields of industry, agriculture, and fureign crode, as well as in the military field. But before tackling these current developments, I should like to discuss leviet economic policy in general, and to describe the progress the USSE has made to date in expanding its economy.

The basic these of lowiet economic policy has from the very beginming been forced draft industrialization at the fastest possible pace.

Takin made no bosse about the ressume for this policy. Assets, he said,
had been besten many times in the past because of her backwardness. For
lite own safety, therefore, the ULES had to close the gap between its own
economic development and that of the more advanced capitalist countries.

Taky then, he exid, would it be possible for the USES to devote resources
to improving living standards in any serious way.

trialization are far different from those employed in the US and Western Surope. In the Western capitalist countries, the means of production are privately owned, but in the USBS the State owns practically all means of production. Seen more important is the contrast between the way we have they organize and corry out our economic activities. In the US the probability objective of economic activity is to increase communer welfare.

The allocation of resources is accomplished by the decisions of individuals. Feores decide how much to spend and how much to seve. These decisions in turn become decends for various types of goods in the market place and are reflected in the structuring of the antire economy. It is not carprising that this kind of economy utilizes a high proportion of its amount output for conseqution, because such a pattern follows naturally from the sover-viguity of the communer. In the levist inion, by contrast, the economy is chapted to serve the meds of the State and set of the people. The Communist leaders decide how they want resources allocated and then proceed to do no through the sectories of detailed place and rigid controls. With only recent acciding the sectories have been allocated so as to prosent the sectories possible granth of heavy industry and the military establishment, and to hold down consumption.

In berns of the objectives of the Communist leaders, powiet policy has been a resounding success. One way to show this success is to take a look at the USES Gross Sectional Product, which is simply the sum total of all the goods and services produced in a given year. (Ariefing Ald -- Comparison of Gross Sectional Product -- US-USES). In 1950, powiet day was 135 that of the US. By 1955 it had grown to 37% and in 1957 to bly of the US. By 1955 it had grown to 37% and in 1957 to bly of the US. By 1955 it had grown to 50%. The growth of Powiet DEP loss been at the rate of between 6 and 7 percent per year, or about twice that of the US. Exhautrial production shows about the same picture.

Devict industrial production has been growing at the rate of 11 or 12 percent per year, or almost twice the rate of growth of the US.

Applied May to look at devict escaped growth is to examine the pro-

industrial growth: First of all, the Sussians had the potential for industrial growth: First of all, the Sussians had the potential for imposerable industrialisation all along; the USER is a big country with a large population and abundant supplies of rest authorishs. Moreover, although Sussia was a relatively underdeveloped country at the time of the Communist take-over, it had begun to industrialise in the years isoscilately preceding Social for L. As a result, the Communists inherited a small but not inalgorificant industry from the Sussiant regime, plus limited supplies of trained supposer. In some fields, Sussian solutions and technicians were as good as any in the world. Starting with these associa, the Communist landers applied a susper of measures to prescribe replic growth. The five most important were probably as follows:

- 1. They transferred cillions of workers from acriculture to Laborary,
- I they trained these warbors to mobium skills and technology,
- 3. They embershed on a productions effort to preduce adjunctes, onglineers, technicians and other emechalists,
- they diverted an unsmally large portion of GAP to investment,
- . They consecutation that investment in heavy industry.
- Though those measures were offertive in proporting rapid industrialization,

they had another effect — they produced an extremely logalised economy, which the Sevicts have only recently began to do sensthing about. By logalised I mean an economy that did not experience balanced development. Instead, there was extremely rapid growth in the sector to which the Sevict leaders gave priority, and neglect of the other sectors of the economy. Agriculture, for enemple, was for many years treated as a suppossible. It was used as a source of labor and capital for industry and development. As a result, as labe as 1954, grain output was sourcely greater than before world war I on the same territory. Live-stock hards, which had been depleted by the raveges of collectivisation and war, had not pet returned to the level of 1925. In 1954, for the first time in history, the Sine-Seviet Sloc as a whole was a net importer of food stuffs.

my better. (briefing Aid -- Production of Salacted Capital and Communer Section). Although the production of communer Items increased during the period of Soviet power, the rate of growth was much almost than for the products of heavy industry. As in the case of agriculture, investment in the communer industries was neglected in favor of heavy industry and the millitary cotabilishment. Thus, although the Soviet consumer experienced increases in his level of living, these increases were not very grout, and left the average Soviet communer much less well off them his counterpart in the US or in most of Section Surage.

A few other statistics will also show the Lopelfod growth of the coviet someony. (Briefing Ald -- Comparison of US and USES Gross Setional

Product and of Separateures for Commerciae, Investment and the Military Secondaries. In the year 1956, the Car of the Uses was 199 that of the Use in that was year, consumption expenditures were only 296 those of 1966 has investment expenditures were 396. Their military effort measured in Saliars was about the same as that of the US. The over-all investment percentage biles monther important point; as compared with the US, a much smaller proportion of Saviet investment must into the compassor industries. Investment funds were placed primarily into an expension of funds and new materials and into the producers goods industries. The great Saviet explanate on these fields to show by the fact that is 1986, series investment in manufacturing, mining, and public utilities in expension to exceed our one. This comparison includes investment in interestment industry and comment industries, but excludes commercial investment and light industry and comment industries, but excludes commercial investment and light industry and comment industries, but excludes commercial investment and investment.

The peak my this portion of the discussion, the Novict Union owner the peak 30 years has enhanced a very rapid rate of econocic growth, particularly in beauty industry. Marting from a position so one of the least advantably in beauty industrial countries, it has progressed to a point where the production scaling is second only to that of the (b) its GM is growth of the that of the W. West Second and Process continued. The growth of the Soviet econocy has been a impediate true, but this impediately may be placed that the Soviet scales by the objectives which the Soviet location.

I stated earlier that the basic thems of Soviet extends policy has been downed draft industrialization at the factors possible page. Fundamentally, this statement still holds true. But if it is possible to say

possible to say that there have recently been significant variations on this these. These variations say be roughly classified as those internal to the Soviet economy and those which affect saviet economic relations with the rest of the world. I shall discuss both in that

The first development of those I have labeled inversal is really a new focus to the old drive for industrialization. That is, foriet leaders have in a number of recent speeches challenged the US to conscittion in economic growth. They have acpressed that determination to catch up with and surpass the US in per capite production, not only in the products of heavy industry, but in agricultural communities and communer goods as well. They have called this the main economic teak of the USSA.

What does the USES hope to economize by cutching up with the US in per counts production: It is probably best to let the Seviet Leaders when for the salives on this point. First, I quote Associate:

The victory of a social order will be solved not by rockets, nor by attack or hydrogen books, but by the social order which incures some entertal and spiritual good for becauty."

#### Apple Cormicient

in economic development . . . the time is not for off when the Seviet Union vill be economically sheaf of the US and we shall provide a higher standard of living for our people. And this will convince all people still more of the advantages of the socialist system over the capitalist.

SECKE

Approved For Release 2000/09/01 : CIA-RDP71T00730R000100010009-2

#### **CPYRGHT**

AND PERSON IN PROPER

The enterior of forticities are beginning to realize that the strength has now noved sainly to the exceeding field. On the outcome of the exceeding the cutome of the strength of excitation and expitation. V.I. Leads wrote that the longer it leads, the stronger will be the influence of our account successes on the course of world history.

The Sected objection, then, is perfectly clear. Sowiet locates believe that it the USES can defeat the US in economic conjectition, the virtury of socialism over capitalism will inswitchly follow.

The goal of cetching up with the 4s in per ceptite production is not, surprisingly enough, a really new one. Even before the October Pevelution of 1917, Lenin told his followers that the building of Socialism and the sites are to Communicate deposited on the powerful development of productive forces, and on catching up to med compansing the most developed Capitalist countries in per capita production. The big difference is that in those cases hardly appear took such challenges carloady. Now that the USE has been been the second and important industrial country in the world, these challenges were to execute sort tooks are industrial country in the world, these challenges were to the second and topotage industrial country in the world, these

It does not take much reading of the peoples, inclinately, to conclude that American officials are in fact testing the coviet economic challenge softenedy. In a special of May 6, 1996, President Simultoner states that intermitional Communius posed a broader and more matche threat than it had the year before. Softenly, he stated, had the coviet balance had it is to pear before. Softenly, he stated, had the coviet balance had it is transmitted allitery matches, but it was also turning note to be appeared and political weapons. Our birector, in a recent speech, said

Approved For Release 2000/09/01 : CIA RDP71T00730R000100010009-2 SECRET

the following:

The and amen to discount the werloomess of the Seviet military

threat or the challenge in the existalitic and technical fields . . .

But as I see it, under its present policy, the USE does not intend
to use its military power in such a way as to risk a general way . . .

It is nost probable that the fateful battles of the cold war will,
in the formsecable future, be length in the economic and subversive

The second new internel development is a modification of the system of prioritios, which until recently gave beauty industry overriding priority over other menters of the economy. These changes have given agriculture, the occasion industries, and housing a more proximant place in the scheme of things. Mareous Soviet landers used to speak of the priority development of heavy industry, they now speak of the simultaneous development, for example, of industry and agriculture, with priority to heavy industry—

a sabile change in phrasociacy, but representing a real change in policy.

It must be streamed houseer, that this is a change in degree, and not in that. Heavy industry will continue to get first priority, but not the absolute and overriding priority it once had.

Larger share of investment funds then ever before. Just outlays are to deable by 1900 as compared with 1905. In addition, the agricultural labor force is to be maintained in supports and improved in skills. Additional increase importance have been provided to the collective farmers over the past 3 or 5 years, and it would not be surprising if there were note in the years should.

No major new progress have been undertaken in the field of earlculture, the New Lands Progress and the corn project. In combination. tions solutes are designed to provide adequate supplies of bread grains while rapidly increasing folder output to appoint arbitious arele for the production of livestock products. The New Lands Progress involves the extension of cultivation into an area of 35 million bectares, about half In liberia and half in Kasakhoten. Oven though such of the May Lands is in distinctly regular agricultural areas, where the risk of drought is very proceed the program has these for boon fairly successful. The USER berrested the largest grain crop in its history in 1976, when weather in the new lends was good, and harvests close to the previous record in 1555 and 1957. in spite of drought conditions in the New Lands. The own project is being contrict out largely in the traditional farming cross. It involves as increase in the area sown to corn from shout 10 million acres in 1955 to about 70 willian sores in 1560. The purpose is to provide feed for livestock so as to focuses the production of meet, allk end other limentaric products.

about a year ago, shrushchev amounced that the WEE planted to equal the WE production of meet per capita by 1960 or 1961. These goals will not be set, especially the case for meet. Sometheless, substantial increases in allk production have been achieved and a considerably scaller increase in the production of court. In 1997 the production of milk in the WEE in absolute terms was only slightly less than production in the WE. The objective of the liverance program is to increase the quality of the Soviet diet. Although the Soviet diet is adequate in calculate, it is a scortonous and ambaspiring one, consisting overshalmingly of grain and potators. As compared with the WE.

is is deficient in fate, oils, milk, and particularly in sect.

With respect to homeing, dowlet history has been marked by serious increasing observages which developed primarily as a result of repli urbaniection and the low priority of bounday construction. Heavy war destruction and the discouragement of private building accompanied the shortage. The original Sixth Five-Year Plan called for a substantial impresse in nonsing construction by 1950. In 1956 only about one-eighth of the original program was achieved. In 1957, the housing goal was exceeded by it million appears peters. This was the first that in devict history that a boundar appel had been met. Ristorically, bousing has been alloted 25% of total construction feads: in 1957 housing not about 30%, and is expected to get 33% in 1950. On 1 July 1957, a decree was issued increasing the already sabitions wight Fire-Year Flan goal by 13%. The decree wise to end the hossing abortage within the most ten to tunive years. The construction of poweing facilities computes directly with industry for rew agterials, labor, and perhaps over teportant, for investment famile. The villingness of the Soviet leaders to go alond with an excitions bousing program is therefore exercises significant indication of the way in which the absolute priority of heavy ladigity and defense has been notified.

On May 6 of this year, Advantable pade a report to a piecesy meeting of the central consister of the Communict Party dealing with an expansion of the chemical industry, particularly symbotics, and with increases in the production of communer goods. The pieces decided on a more rapid development of the chemical industry, particularly in the output of crtificial and symbotic fibers, plantics, and other symbotic materials, and items made

production of such consumers goods as fabric, knittener, and footware, for the purpose of fully supplying the desaid of the population for clothing, shows and other goods within the next five or six years. The planes instructed the appropriate organisations to provide for the mescassiv capital investments in drawing up the new f-year plan, and also for the necessary increases in the output of machinery and in research, development, and training. Here egain we have an ambitious program which, though it will also contribute to the development of industry, is to besent the common. The willingness of the development to allocate the mescassivy resources, particularly of investment funds, is again an indication of the way in which economic priorities have been modified.

Not only did it make a lengthy and detailed claim for the experiently of
the Noviet economic system over capitalism, but it presented both an
exposition and a rationale for present Noviet economic policies. Manushabev
was especially intent on making clear that the traditional Noviet policy
of giving priority to heavy industry had been correct and would be continued.
Not the make of industriclization and argued that the Noviet State would
have perioded if any other policy had been adopted. We insisted that the
future lescening of attention to heavy industry would be a grave mistake.
He asserted, at the same thee, that the current level of development
of heavy industry, so well as achievements in science and technology,
made it immediately possible to asserted significantly feater tempor in
the production of consumers goods without detriment to heavy industry.

Were neglecting the consumer. The establishment of a beauty industrial base, he said, was the direct result of knowledgible said-searifice by the "Soviet men", who could now expect a better life. As a matter of fact, the better life had already began. Marashahav claimed virtual success for the compaign to surpass the US in per capita production of mails and butter. He said the programs to rectify the long magnet of housing and agriculture and been successfully initiated with prospects for general success. Sow he said the USSH would take core of clothing in the next few years, and that the detractors of the Soviet Union would be proven false in the eyes of the workers of the world.

Two other recent internal developments are worthy of mention at this point, even though they bear on how economic decisions are carried out rather them on the content of these decisions. I refer here to the decentralisation of industrial management and to the drestic reorganization of the mechine and tractor stations. These actions reflect, among other things, the greater flexibility of the present rulers of the USE as conjured with Stalin, and their willingness to timber with the economic machine in the interest of greater efficiency. Also, they represent a change from the highly centralized form of control over economic activity which see the logical accompanisant of the rethless and single-minded concentration on the development of heavy industry.

Until last year, most economic activity of antional significance was controlled through specialised ministries set up in Moscow. Such of those ministries controlled a particular functional sector of the economy, as for example the chemical industry or the electrical industry.

Description and cooperation in production, and stifled local initiative.

NATURAL Y

The new system of organisation set up 105 councils of the national secondary, each covering a particular region of the country. These councils seare given control of most of the economic activity in their respective regions. The exceptions were: (1) certain buy areas where control was related at the center -- notably the defense industriae, transportation and the chemical industry, (2) certain activities put under the control of Republic Councils of Ministers, (3) strictly local activity. This recognization was expected to have at least short-run reprecussions on the someony in the form of confusion, disorganization and the like, because of the far reaching nature of the change. Nonethaloss, the Sovieta were able to exceed their fairly modest goal for industrial production last year. Soviet leaders claim, someover, that the industrial reorganization paralistics a better performance in the second half of the year than in the first half.

The reorganization of the ambine and tractor stations was decided upon just this year and is still in process of being implemented. Criginally those stations served two principal purposes. First, they were an instrument of political control over the countryside. Second, they were a countryl repository of agricultural machinery and performed field and other

work for the collective forms in exchange for payment in kind. As the Communist Party become better organized in the countryside, and as calls were set up on nearly all collective farms, the first of these two DESCRIPTIONS became such less important. Sarly this year Chrusbehav proposed that the rechimery held by the MIS be sold to the collective farms and that the 1875 be converted to repair technical stations or 1975. He argued that most collective farms had grown into large and economically strong enterprises, which were technically such better equipped then in the part, and which now had codres of trained specialists. Moreover, the income of collective farms and the material prosperity had grown repails. He resource that the role of the MIS as organizer of production had lessoned and that the collective farmers could make better use of machinery than the MIS. In middling, the MTS were no longer measurery to convince the fursers of the advantages of large-scale occlerative familia, or to serve as a source for the escuralistion of grain. Se therefore concluded that the time had come to said the situation in which there were two seaters and two edutaistration organizations on the same land, the collective face and the Mil.

The reorganization of MES's has just begin and has a long way to go before it can be completed. Abrusholar has again and again stressed the importance of proceeding alonly, pointing out that it will be some years before some of the weaker collective farms are ready to buy their own machinery. It is too early, therefore, to say what the consequences of this charge will be. A priori, it seems perfectly clear, however, that this reorganization makes some and that it should load to on increase in efficiency in the countryside.

I abould like to turn new to the recent developments in Soviet economic

Approved For Release 2000/09/01 : CIA-RDP71T00730R000100010009-2

strategy and policy which affect the economic relations of the USSR with the rest of the world. I will discuss first the recent growth of Soviet trade with the Free World and escond, the so-called Soviet Bloc economic offensive in the undertwelloped countries of the Free World. These two subjects are, of course, very closely related.

Under Stalin, Sowiet economic relations with the Free World were held to a minimum. The country locked insurd rather than outward. It pursued a policy of economic autority in developing its economy, relying on the Free World for supplies and markets only where absolutely necessary. This policy reliance a deep-seated Soviet four of losing economic independence through reliance on foreign sources of supply. After World War II, the trade of the Sino-Soviet Bloc countries with each other increased sharply and the Erade of the newly Communical countries with the Free World Grouped off sharply. The Bloc, as a whole, continued to follow a policy of suturby. Thus the recent growth in Soviet economic relations with the Free World cropped of suppressons a real departure from earlier policy.

Over the pest two years blue trade with the West has increased considerably, for more rapidly, in fact, then the trade of the Bloe countries with each other. In the case of the BRE, about 70 percent of the increase in mon-Bloe trade in 1577 was with the imbastrial mations of Western Nursys. Under agreements such as the one just concluded with West Germany, this trade is likely to expend even more.

Not only has nowlet trade increased in quantity in the past year or two, last it has also experienced a very interesting and eignificant change in composition. For to an increasing and expresseducted extent, the USER to turning to the calc of back res enterials, such as aluminum, tin,

purchased only minute quantities of such commodities from the Sine-Soviet Sice, relying principally on other free World countries for their supplies. In the last year or two, however, Western purchases have increased sharply. Aluminum furnishes a good example. Prior to 1955 meither the USSE nor the other Bloc countries sold aluminum outside the Soviet Bloc. In 1955 the USSE expected 5,000 metric tone to Western Durage and in 1956, 10,000 metric tone to Western Durage and in 1956, 10,000 metric tone to preliminary data, Soviet expects were about 24,000 metric tone in 1957.

Recent appearies by Soviet Leaders such as Ehrumbehov, Mikoyan, and Deputy Foreign Minister Rebberow, have stressed the desire of the USSR to increase trade with the Free World even Parther. In fact, Marushchev has challenged the US to increase trade in such the seme way that be has challenged the US in the growth of per cepits production. Just recently be remarked: "to the slogar that says 'let us arm' we would reply with the slogar 'let us trade'". Last month Rebberov told the United Sations Sconneck Commission for Surope that Western trade ministers should devote their energies to bringing about a long-run increase in Sast-West trade. He expressed particular interest in the import of machinery for the manufacture of communer goods.

It is quite possible that in the pages to done the USER will become a major source of sumy commodities for Western Surepe. A few months ago the USER summaned a series of goals for bey commodities in the year 1972, which approach or exceed present US production. If these goals are reached or even approach of exceed present US production. If these goals are reached or even approach, it is probable that the USER will have large quantities of goods available for export. Petroleum is a good example. By 1972

the Soviets plan to produce as such crude oil as we in the US do today.

Swem allowing for a substantial increase in demostic consumption, they wight be able to export as much as 2 million barrels per day. This figure congerns with present Western European all imports of 3 million barrels per day.

increases in Soviet trade with the Free World are really not as surprising as they might seem at first glance. For one thing, the USER has developed its same fecturing capacity to a point where it can now expect many types of industrial equipment in exchange for needed imports. In addition, the strength of their economy appears to have reduced Soviet fears of losing economic independence through reliance on foreign sources of amply. The Soviet leaders apparently have come to realize that some small reliance on the Free World for foodstuffs and raw materials is professable to a rigid policy of enterty, since it offers the provise of more rapid rates of economic growth. In addition, they see opportunities for encouraging political attitudes favorable to the USER and for makening existing political attitudes favorable to the USER and for makening

This last point leads me to a discussion of disc-Soviet Dioc economic activities in the underteveloped countries of the Free World. The Bloc economic offensive in these countries has been in the form of medium-term losse and credits for the parchase of both military and industrial equipment. The losse are at low interest rates, and generally permit repayment in commodities. The non-military losses are usually for industrial development projects, especially in the primary processing and basic industries; in effect, the USER has for the first time become a competitor of the Free World in the export of capital. Losses are typically part of a

protects which also includes technical assistance agreements and trade

inversal other points reserting the Bloc sourceic offensive are vorthy of mention. Including some Bloc-US comparisons. First, Bloc loans for economic development usually cover only foreign exchange costs, and not the total cost of the projects for which they are made. Hence, the borrowing country must supply the balance from its own resources. This often creates an integral strain, because of high describe costs and lack of capital. The M, by contrast, frequently lends the total cost of an industrial devalopent project. A typical US procedure is to provide surplus agricultural consodities for the borrower to sell internally as a device for securing the passency internal Amds. Second, Sloc loans to underdeveloped countries have not been tied to militarypacts, even though a large frection of total Bloc loans have been for the purchase of military equipment. Third, as I have suggested above, Bloc losss usually penalt repayment in cosmodities. (wite often, these cosmodities are the ones produced by the plants for which the loss was read. This is a convenient arrengement for the horrowing country, because the loss creates its own bests for receivment, and the USSR is provided with medical commodition. The US is manch lead willing to accept commodities, whether or not those of the plant being finemost. Finally, the Bloc has thus far scrapulously carried out the terms of its agreements with underdeveloped countries. By and large, the bloc has not its committeepts in full and on time: whom mistakes have break made, the Bloc has done its best to correct them. Moreover, the Bloc has been careful not to interfere in the interest affairs of the recipient opentries. As far as we can determine, for example, the Bloc

is not using the technological assistance terms to subvert the countries to which they are sent.

The Bloss economic orrespiese began in 1954, and went into high goer in 1955. In total, the Sino-Soviet Blos has extended almost 2.2 billion dellars in credite and grants. Of this escent, the USER has provided about 1.3 billion, the European Satellites 750 million, and Communist China 50 million. Secrety 1.7 billion of the credite and grants have been secretical for the purchase of Blos equipment and materials or for other securate development purposes, and the belance, or about 500 million dellars, for military equipment.

Second for the remaining 10%.

This evenue of frenche has many attractions for the Sino-Soviet bloc. First of all, it serves as a device for bringing the uncommitted and nearly developed areas of the world closer to the Bloc comp, and for experating them from the Sest. Second, it provides the Bloc with a source of rew materials for its industry, some of which are in short supply demostically, and with such needed agricultural committies. Third, the unionistrated open countries become a carried for the products of Soviet beauty industry, whose growth now permits the export of industrial equipment in excitates for meded inserts.

englishabs (187

offensive: Pirst of all, there is a transmious pressure for economic betterment in these countries. The people as well as the leaders are convinced that this requires rapid industrialization, and they are willing to term almost anywhere to get it. Second, Soviet offers have particular appeal because the NEW itself was until recently an under-developed country. There is a tendency for many of the newly independent countries to feel that the Srealin has a new and magic formula for quick industrialization. They are inclined to believe the Soviet line that industrialization by free enterprise methods took 150 years in the Western world and the US, and that they can't wait that long.

The words of Milowen Djiles come to mind in this commection — the same Djiles who is now in a Tugodlav juil. As you know, orthodes Communists believe that Communists, because it is a universal truth, must triumph entiversally. Djiles, knowver, ergoss differently. He believes that Communists does not make much sense, or onjoy such chance of ultimate success, in communies which have already experienced an industrial revolution, which Djiles views as an inevitable and logical necessity of society. But in countries which have not, Communies because the vehicle for carrying out the Industrial revolution. If Djiles is even half right, the ultimate dangers to the US of the Bloc economic offensive are only too clear.

In the analysis above lines discussed the very real achievements of the USA, its growing power and its repid rate of progress. I have also discussed some of the recent changes in economic policy, which is many respects here people to make the USA even sere formidable. In order to balance this picture, I would like to conclude with a discussion of some

of the problem faced by the USE, many of which were created by their reput industrialisation or are a consequence of the recent changes in accounts policy.

writings the most cortions problem facing the USE is that of the proper balance between freeder and authority. Repli industrialization required a dosplete subilization of the economic resources of the country will the use of blobby authoritative techniques for allocating them. It is sardly likely that the Soviet people would voluntarily have made the exertifies required of these during the past 30 years. Heaven, as the the became infantrialised and developed a complicated exercise eaching, the preside on local and individual initiative gray correspondingly, as the department of sectorial insentives as opposed to force. The charges in compate policy state the death of Stalin here given greater sompe to these elements. Indeed, they reflect a proving conviction that a modern laborital economy cannot forever be operated using the hareb and authoritative methods of a Stalin. The basic problem for the Soviet landers to law for individual indicative and the relience on anterial investibles are to go. The more they are wand, the better the shining new exercises mathine operation, but there is a denser that the process vill get on of control. For example, it is possible that the levist people will become preserveded with meterial things and with improving their manhers of living, and lose their interest in Commiss and the triumph of excitation ower capitalism. They may demend more of the good things of life than the arriet leaders are villing to give than. As asterial betterment to naturally achieved, the country and the party may lose their revolutionary class; the BNR say become a such less dynasic and revolutionary

Approved For Release 2000/09/01 : CIA-RDP71T00730R000100010009-2

invocables it new is. The Seriet Leaders would havily wish this to

This dilema is each more sente by the growth of education in the case. The uses, in order to meet the meeter of scientists, engineers, teachers, and society, has turned out large masters of scientists, engineers, teachers, industrial managers, and the like. The problem for the device leaders arises from the fact that such people tend to be of an inquiring and questioning turn of mind. As a result, the great growth is education may build up persections of people who are more and more inclined to question the basic tends of Occasions and less and less willing to tolerate authoritarianism. In have had a number of reports of growing restlessment berdering on invological result in the USE, portionizely same students and other surfaces of the intelligencie. There is no evidence, however, that this restlessness is getting out of control, or that it threatens the regime.

The recent morganisation of the control of industry, and presibly also the reorganisation of the MTS, may produce tenderales similar to those your discussed. Any more toward-controlisation has built-in dangers for any distanceship, such as that of the Brenlin today.

distinct important from Northebow's repeated provines to his people of startling improvements in their diet and in the swallability of housing and common goods. The realization of improvements in the diet depends on preparation agricultural base, whose crops are in large areas vulnerable to drought. Increases in housing and in the catput of common expendities will require the diversion of investment funds from heavy industry and the defence establishment. If the Soviet leaders do not using good of their

provides to the ocupant, they will almost eartly introduce auxiliar almost or instability into their excisty.

does not of course betract from the achievements described earlier. Moreour, the instantial has based over more merious problems and associated that. The programs to improve the lot of the farmer and of the communications seriously intended, and shey will require substantial economic resources. Resolutions, they can probably be contied out without seriously altering the speciment tempo of the present industrial and allitary programs, with their sequencia on heavy industry and allitary power. Coviet economic policy has been conficied, but it still issues planty of rose for the further development of the second most important industrial country in the world today.